Fluctuation of similarity to detect transitions between distinct dynamical regimes in short time series
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A method to identify distinct dynamical regimes and transitions between those regimes in a short univariate time series was recently introduced [N. Malik et al., Europhys. Lett. 97, 40009 (2012)], employing the computation of fluctuations in a measure of nonlinear similarity based on local recurrence properties. In this work, we describe the details of the analytical relationships between this newly introduced measure and the well-known concepts of attractor dimensions and Lyapunov exponents. We show that the new measure has linear dependence on the effective dimension of the attractor and it measures the variations in the sum of the Lyapunov spectrum. To illustrate the practical usefulness of the method, we identify various types of dynamical transitions in different nonlinear models. We present testbed examples for the new method’s robustness against noise and missing values in the time series. We also use this method to analyze time series of social dynamics, specifically an analysis of the US crime record time series from 1975 to 1993. Using this method, we find that dynamical complexity in robberies was influenced by the unemployment rate until the late 1980s. We have also observed a dynamical transition in homicide and robbery rates in the late 1980s and early 1990s, leading to increase in the dynamical complexity of these rates.
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1. INTRODUCTION

One of the central challenges in nonlinear time series analysis has been to develop methodologies to identify and predict dynamical transitions, i.e., time points where the dynamics shows a qualitative change [1–12]. The application of such methods is widespread in a variety of areas of science and society [13]. For example, such approaches could be useful in medicine in identifying pathological activities of vital organs such as the heart and the brain from ECG and EEG data [14–16]. In earth sciences, one can use these methods to identify tipping points in modern and paleoclimate data sets [2,7,9–11,17]. In the analysis of financial data, these methods may be used to better comprehend the behavior of markets and their vulnerabilities [18–20]. In physics, one may similarly study the response of an interacting many-body system to an external perturbation [21]. Finally, such methods could be used to analyze social and economic indicators to better understand societal changes and to predict possible future changes [13,18–20].

The challenge in developing such methods is that there may be a variety of reasons in a particular system that can lead to different types of qualitative changes in the dynamics [3–5,22–24]. Common reasons might include the evolving control parameters of the system passing through a bifurcation point, the rate of change of these control parameters, internal feedbacks, and noise induced effects [3–5,22,24]. In many natural systems it has been suggested that dynamic bifurcations lead to critical transitions in their dynamical state [24–26]. While these transitions are visually apparent and can be identified with little effort in some cases, in other cases these transitions may be much more subtle, especially where transition occurs from one chaotic regime to another complex chaotic regime. For example, in paleoclimate studies, Dansgaard-Oeschger events on millennial time scales are visible in ice records to the naked eye and have been hypothesized to be caused by a noise induced transition [27–29]. In contrast, on similar time scales we do not observe such visibly apparent transitions in many other components of climate, such as the Indian summer monsoon, although it has also gone through dynamical transitions between different chaotic regimes due to variations of Milankovitch cycles [1,30,31], and we need more careful analysis. Similarly, certain brain states such as sleep cycling or epileptic seizure are easily detectable from EEG data but gamma rhythms or the ultraslow BOLD rhythms are harder to detect without more sophisticated mathematical tools to identify such dynamical transitions [32]. The intricacies and diversities involved in the origins of dynamical transitions make it difficult to develop one single method to identify and quantify all possible types of transitions. Rather, we need to have a toolbox consisting of several methodologies and approaches inspired by the paradigm of nonlinear dynamics to solve such problems. The case we will be most interested in here in this work is where the changes in one single control parameter take the system from a regime of one dynamical complexity to another dynamic state of different complexity. The method used here is particularly applicable when the available time series is relatively short (from several hundred to a few thousand time points).

Most of the widely used methods for the above mentioned applications are linear, such as autocorrelation functions and detrended fluctuation analysis, among others [8,11,33–35]. But, some methods for the analysis of time series using the paradigm of nonlinear dynamics have also shown tremendous promise, including recurrence plot based methodologies such.
as recurrence quantification analysis and recurrence network analysis [2,7,9,10,36–41]. The method discussed here, which we call FLUS (FLUctuation of similarity), is based on the concept of nonlinear similarity between two time points. FLUS is computationally simple, automatized, and yet extremely robust in distinguishing distinct dynamical regimes and identifying time points where transitions occur between these distinct dynamical regimes, even in the case where available time series is short. FLUS also tends to work well in the presence of noise and missing values.

FLUS was previously briefly introduced in the context of analyzing a short paleoclimatic time series of the Indian summer monsoon [1]. In this paper, we present the method of analyzing a short time series of length \(N\) and use Euclidean distance if not indicated otherwise. The dynamical similarity of \(x_j\) conditioned to \(x_{j+\tau}\) can then be calculated, noting that \(S_{j|j+\tau}\) need not be the same as \(S_{j+\tau|j}\) since the neighborhoods used in the calculations of \(d(x_j|x_{j+\tau})\) and \(d(x_{j+\tau}|x_j)\) can be different. A similar measure has been used previously to estimate the nonlinear interdependency in bivariate studies [45], where the conditional distance was calculated between time points coming from two separate time series.

The measure \(S_{j|j+\tau}\), indicating local properties of the time series, is computationally cumbersome to calculate for all possible \(S_{j|j+\tau}\) for a complete time series. We therefore devise a strategy to obtain a measure from \(S_{j|j+\tau}\) which is not only computationally simpler, but also depends on the global properties of the attractor and will thereby be sensitive to dynamical transitions. To achieve this task, we first need to discuss the kinds of behaviors that we would recognize as dynamical transitions. For these purposes, let us consider two consecutive time points in a deterministic system with a locally sufficiently smooth mapping \(\varphi\) such that

\[
x_{j+1} = \varphi(x_j).
\]

We are particularly interested in the situations where these strong constraints on \(\varphi\) and its local behavior break down, indicating a dynamical transition. If we fix \(\tau = 1\) and if there is no dynamical transition between \(j\) and \(j+1\), then we expect (as explained in detail in the next section, and see also Fig. 1 for a schematic representation of the associated concepts) the values of a finite time series \(S_{j|j+1}\) to fluctuate around a constant value \(\mu_S\) specific to the mapping \(\varphi\). If a dynamical transition occurs between \(j\) and \(j+1\), we expect substantially larger fluctuations in \(S_{j|j+1}\). We therefore aim to quantify such differences in terms of the variance of \(S_{j|j+1}\) over a window of \(n\) points:

\[
\sigma_S^2 = \langle (S_{j|j+1} - \mu_S)^2 \rangle,
\]

where \(\mu_S = \langle S_{j|j+1} \rangle\) and \(\langle \ldots \rangle\) denotes an average over \(n\) points. We call \(\sigma_S\) the fluctuation of similarity (FLUS). Our numerical experimentation with a variety of nonlinear models with different kinds of transitions demonstrates that \(\sigma_S\) is
we investigate the scalings of the mean distances $d(x_j)$ and $d(x_{j+1}|x_j)$ with the number of nearest neighbors considered in defining the respective neighborhoods [45].

Suppose that a vector $x_j$ in phase space has $k$ nearest neighbors. Let $d(x_j)$ be the mean density of the whole point cloud around $x_j$, i.e., $d(x_j) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\epsilon=1}^{N} |x_j - x_\epsilon|$. For $k \ll N$, we expect the following scaling law (see [45–50] for analytical details):

$$d(x_j) \approx a_j(k/N)^{\alpha_j},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $N$ is the length of the time series and $a_j$ is a scaling coefficient. In the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit, $\alpha_j = D_F$, where $1/D_F$ is the effective dimension of the attractor. First introduced in [46], $1/D_F$ has been conjectured in [49,50] to be related to the $q$th order Renyi dimension $D_q$ by an implicit relationship where $q$ satisfies

$$1 = (q - 1)D_q, \quad \frac{1}{D_F} = D_q, \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

For a stochastic time series, $1/D_F = m$ where $m$ is the embedding dimension.

Because the conditional distance $d(x_{j+1}|x_j)$ between $x_j$ and $x_{j+1}$ has a similar geometric formulation as the distance $d(x_j)$, the conditional distance also scales with the ratio $k/N$, which we write as

$$d(x_{j+1}|x_j) \approx b_j(k/N)^{\beta_j},$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $b_j$ is a scaling coefficient. In Figs. 2(a)–2(d) we have numerically demonstrated these scalings for $d(x_j)$ and $d(x_{j+1}|x_j)$, using two different nonlinear systems. The first example system is the Rössler system described by

$$\dot{x} = -y - z, \quad \dot{y} = x + ay, \quad \dot{z} = 0.3x - 4.5z + xz,$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where the selected parameter $a = 0.39$ corresponds to screw type chaos [see Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) for scaling behavior]. The second example system is the logistic map

$$x_{i+1} = 4x_i(1 - x_i),$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

with corresponding scaling plotted in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d).

Generally, such scaling laws require large numbers of data points [3,4,39,51–54], but here we have attempted to estimate them using smaller amounts of data, namely, with time series of length $N = 4500$. In particular, we numerically solve the respective systems with a fourth-order Runge-Kutta integrator with step size $h = 0.001$. We then sample 4500 points of the $x$ component with $\tau = 200h$. In Fig. 2, we can clearly observe that the scaling laws of Eqs. (6) and (8) hold even for short time series, although there are fluctuations in the values of the exponents. Therefore, for short time series we assume that $a_j = D_F + \delta_j$, where $\delta_j$ are fluctuations due to the shortness of the time series. Important to the further development and application of the method, we further explore the relationship between $D_F$ and $\sigma_S$ under the constraints imposed by considering short time series.

Assuming the scaling forms of Eqs. (6) and (8), the Eq. (3) definition of the similarity between two consecutive time

FIG. 1. (Color online) Schematic representation of the $\epsilon_j(k)$ ball neighborhood $U(x_j)$, corresponding to the $k$ nearest neighbors of $x_j$, and its deformation (region within red boundary) due to application of the mapping $\psi$, $x_{j+1} = \psi(x_j)$. Note that the neighborhood of $x_{j+1}$ corresponding to its $k$ nearest neighbors is usually different. Locally at $x_j$ the mapping $\psi$ is approximated by a linear transformation. Expansion of $U(x_j)$ by inclusion of more points rescales $\psi(U(x_j))$ by stretching or contraction in different directions. Hence, their radii will scale by the same exponent.

A. Relationship with attractor dimensions

FLUS relies on comparing dynamical similarity of two consecutive time points in the embedded space, in terms of calculations of $S_{jj+1}$ for the dynamics under study. To get further detailed insights into the properties of $S_{jj+1}$,
for red lines and Eq. (8) for blue lines. Note again that $\alpha_j / \sigma_j \to 1$ here in the absence of dynamical transitions in the model. The embedding parameters used were $m = 10$ and $L = 15$.

(c), (d) Scaling laws in the logistic map for two different randomly chosen time points over a short time series of length $N = 4500$. See Eq. (6) for red lines and Eq. (8) for blue lines. Note again that $\alpha_j / \sigma_j \to 1$ as there are no dynamical transitions. The embedding parameters used were $m = 3$ and $L = 2$. We also observe the fluctuations in the values of exponents $\alpha$ and $\beta$, possibly caused by the shortness of these time series and numerical error in the evolution of the dynamics.

points becomes

$$S_{jj+1} \approx \Sigma_{jj+1} A_j (k/N)^{\gamma_j},$$

where $\gamma_j = \alpha_j - \beta_j$, $\Sigma_{jj+1} = -\frac{\partial \ln(r_j)}{\partial(x_{j+1} | x_j)}$, and $A_j = \frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j}$. The dynamical similarities between two consecutive time points $x_j$ and $x_{j+1}$ will be determined by the relationship between the exponents $\alpha_j$ and $\beta_j$. If no abrupt transition has occurred at the time point $j$, then a simple deterministic existing between time points $j$ and $j + 1$ in the form of a mapping of the kind $x$ exists and Eq. (4) holds. In that case, we expect $\gamma_j \approx 0$, i.e., $\beta_j \approx \alpha_j$ (as determined in the limit of large $N$), and $d(x_j) = d(x_{j+1} | x_j)$ are expected to scale by the same exponent. We provide an intuitive explanation for this behavior in the sketch in Fig. 1: locally at $x_j$ the mapping $\phi$ can be approximated by a linear transformation, deforming the neighborhood $U(x_j)$ into an ellipsoid upon application of $\phi$. Any expansion in the ball $U(x_j)$ by inclusion of more points thereby will lead to rescaling of the size of $\phi(U(x_j))$ by stretching or contraction in different directions. Hence, the sizes of these regions scale with the same exponent of $k/N$. We also expect in Eq. (11) that $\Sigma_{jj+1} A_j \to const$ as $N \to \infty$. Hence, in the absence of a dynamical transition, $S_{jj+1} \to const$ as $N \to \infty$. Rigorous mathematical expression for $d(x_j) / d(x_{j+1} | x_j)$ is considered in [47–50]. However, all of these scalings are only valid asymptotically for large $N$. In the practical case of time series of finite length, we observe fluctuating deviations of the calculated exponents of the scaling, similar to that observed in our numerical examples in Fig. 2.

To study the influence of these fluctuations on the method and find an approximate expression for $\sigma_S$, we start with some definitions for notational convenience. We define the quantity $r_j$ such that $r_j^{\gamma_j} = \Sigma_{jj+1} A_j$, so that Eq. (11) can be written as

$$S_{jj+1} \approx (r_j k/N)^{\gamma_j}.$$  

(12)

Again assuming that $\alpha_j = D_F + \delta_j$ for finite $N$, we substitute $\gamma_j = \Delta_j D_F + \Delta_j \delta_j$ into Eq. (12). Expanding in powers of $\{\Delta_j, \delta_j\}$ and discarding higher-order terms, we then obtain

$$S_{jj+1} \approx 1 + D_F \ln(r_j k/N) \Delta_j = 1 + D_F \ln(k/N) \Delta_j'',$$

(13)

where we have defined $\Delta_j' = \Delta_j \ln(r_j k/N) + 1$. The mean and variance of $S_{jj+1}$ can then be expressed as

$$\mu_S = \langle S_{jj+1} \rangle \approx 1 + D_F \ln(k/N) \langle \Delta_j' \rangle$$

(14)

and

$$\sigma_S^2 \approx \langle D_F \ln(k/N) \rangle^2 \sigma_{\Delta_j'}^2,$$

(15)

elucidating the explicit dependence on $D_F$, recalling that $1/D_F$ is the effective dimension of the attractor [45]. The quantity $\ln(k/N)$ will be taken as fixed over the length of the time series. Consequently, such transitions changing the attractor’s structure and thus significantly altering $D_F$ can be well identified by $\sigma_S$. A large variety of systems show such transitions, as will be shown in Sec. III.

Because $r_j$ and $\Delta_j$ are expected to converge to constant values in the limit of large $N$, for fixed $k/N$ we expect $\Delta_j'$ to also converge in this limit. For finite $N$, however, we expect nonzero $\sigma_{\Delta_j'}$, and then $\sigma_S$ represents a multiple of the inverse attractor dimension. To obtain $\sigma_S$, we numerically sample over a window of length $n$ within the time series. In Fig. 3, we demonstrate convergence of $\sigma_S$ under different length samples $n$, again considering both the logistic map and Rössler system in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), respectively. We generate an ensemble of 10000 bootstrap samples of $\sigma_S$ by resampling with replacement of windows containing consecutive values of $S_{jj+1}$ from the possible $N - 1$ values and then calculating $\sigma_S$ for each of these samples. $\sigma_S$ is the median of these sampled values of $\sigma_S$, whereas $\epsilon$ is the standard error. Then, we calculate $\sigma_S$ and $\epsilon$ by progressively increasing $n$ to see whether increasing $n$ (sample size) leads to the convergence of $\sigma_S$ to a certain value. We observe in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) that the values of $\sigma_S$ quickly converge as $n$ is increased. The standard error $\epsilon$ in calculation of $\sigma_S$ also shows a continuous drop before saturating to small value around 0.0002. All this
The transitions between chaos and SNA can only be observed in the space of Lyapunov exponents of Eq. (17). The two types of Lyapunov exponents, namely, the largest transverse Lyapunov exponent $\Lambda_T$ and the largest Lyapunov exponent $\Lambda_y$, of the subsystem $y$, are given by [56,57]

$$\Lambda_T = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \ln |a \cos(x_j) + b|,$$

$$\Lambda_y = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \ln |a \cos(x_j) + b \cos(2\pi y_j)|.$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

It is known that in the case of $\Lambda_T > 0$ and $\Lambda_y < 0$ we have SNA, while for $\Lambda_T > 0$ and $\Lambda_y > 0$ we have a chaotic regime. In Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), the gray band represents the transition to SNA from chaos. This transition is known to occur via on-off intermittency, whereas the gray band in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d) highlights the transition from SNA to chaos.

We generate a short time series of length $N = 4500$ at 100 different values of $a$ separated by 0.002. Then, we calculate $\sigma_S$ using embedding parameters $m = 5$ and $L = 2$. In Fig. 4, we have plotted $\sigma_S$ with the $\Lambda_T$ and $\Lambda_y$. An abrupt change in the values of $\sigma_S$ would indicate a transition. Comparing Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), we observe that as $\Lambda_y$ starts to decrease and becomes negative, the values of $\sigma_S$ show a simultaneous drop, signifying the dependence of $\sigma_S$ on the complexity or qualitative features of the dynamics. We observe lower values of $\sigma_S$ for SNA than for chaos. A similar change is observed if we reverse these transitions, i.e., going from SNA to chaos [Figs. 4(c) and 4(d)].
and 4(d)]. As the values of $\lambda_i$ increase to positive values, there is again a sharp drop in the values of $\sigma_S$. This example demonstrates that $\sigma_S$ is able to capture even a subtle change in dynamics, like the ones that occur in transitions between SNA and chaos. In [1] we have shown that $\sigma_S$ can uncover all the transitions that are induced by the variation of the parameter in a logistic map such as period-chaos transitions, intermittency, chaos-chaos transitions, etc.

B. Relationship with Lyapunov spectrum

Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_i$ are the most extensively used measures for a quantitative characterization of nonlinear dynamics [3–5,23,48]. Several dynamical invariants are conjectured in terms of them such as Lyapunov dimension. However, reliably estimating $\lambda_i$ from short time series remains a challenging problem [48,60,61], frequently encountered in various real time systems. The main objective of this section is to understand the new measure $S_{ij|i+1}$, its mean $\mu_S$, and variance $\sigma_S$ in terms of these well-known dynamical measures of Lyapunov exponents.

Suppose that Eq. (4) holds and $\lambda_i^0, \lambda_i^1, \ldots, \lambda_i^m$ are the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix $D\phi(x_i)$. Then, the deformation of the infinitesimal $\epsilon_j(k)$ ball neighborhood of $x_i$ in any direction $i$ will be a multiple of $\exp(\lambda_i^j)$ (see Fig. 5). Defining $\Lambda_i^j = \exp(\lambda_i^j)$, where $\Lambda_i^j$ are called the Lyapunov numbers, the local Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_i$ are given by

$$\lambda_i(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_i^j. \quad (18)$$

The global Lyapunov exponent $L_i$ corresponding to the direction $i$ is the asymptotic value of $\lambda_i$:

$$L_i = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_i^j. \quad (19)$$

If the Euclidean distance metric is used for calculation of $d(x_j)$, a simple geometrical consideration yields

$$d(x_{j+1}|x_j) = \left( \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\Lambda_i^j)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x_j). \quad (20)$$

FIG. 5. (Color online) Evolution of the $\epsilon_j(k)$ neighborhood of the time point $x_i$ into an ellipsoid by the application of the smooth mapping $\phi$ such that $x_{j+1} = \phi(x_j)$. The expansion or contraction in any direction $i$ is a multiple of $\exp(\lambda_i^j)$, where $\lambda_i^j$ are the eigenvalues of $D\phi$ at $j$.

Hence, $S_{ij|i+1}$ measures the total deformation of the $\epsilon_j(k)$ ball neighborhood of point $x_i$ when a mapping $\phi$ is applied on it. From Eq. (20), we find that the average of $S_{ij|i+1}$ taken over a window of size $n$ is

$$\mu_S = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\Lambda_i^j)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (21)$$

Comparing Eq. (21) with (18) and (19), we can conclude that $\mu_S$ will resemble the sum of the local Lyapunov exponents, approximating the sum of the global Lyapunov exponents over large $n$. This is shown numerically for the logistic map in Fig. 6. In a chaotic system, we always have at least one direction $i$ such that the $\lambda_i^j > 0$, i.e., $\Lambda_i^j > 1$, representing the expansion in the direction $i$. In other directions, we will either have contraction $\lambda_i^j < 0$, i.e., $\Lambda_i^j < 1$ or $\lambda_i^j = 0$, i.e., $\Lambda_i^j = 1$. Therefore, in a chaotic system with few degrees of freedom the most dominant contribution to $S_{ij|i+1}$ in Eq. (20) comes from the largest positive eigenvalue corresponding to the expansion. Hence, for such systems $\mu_S$ will be similar to the Lyapunov exponent. In Fig. 6, we observe a strong correspondence between $\mu_S$ and the Lyapunov exponent of the logistic map. We know for certain systems the sum of the largest Lyapunov exponents is provably related to the dynamical invariants such as Lyapunov dimension, topological entropy, and information dimension (due to Kaplan-Yorke conjecture) [22,23,62,63]. Therefore, we might think that $\mu_S$ could also be used in quantifying dynamics, but our numerical analysis has shown that $\mu_S$ is not well suited for detecting dynamical transitions in the series because it is not sensitive in quantifying and capturing large fluctuations in $S_{ij|i+1}$. It will be better to use $\sigma_S$ for this purpose, as $\sigma_S$ is better suited to quantify variation in parameters of a dynamical system and corresponding changes in the dynamics because any variation in the parameters of a dynamical system will lead to variation in the Lyapunov spectrum too. Transitions lead to large deformations of the $\epsilon_j(k)$ neighborhood, leading to large fluctuations in the magnitude of $S_{ij|i+1}$, which are then captured by $\sigma_S$. A point to note is that the global Lyapunov exponents $L_i$ are not defined for a time series with a transition, due to the constraints imposed by ergodicity.
In order to get further insights into the properties of $\sigma_S$, we take a look at the distribution of $S$ (dropping subscript for simplicity) over window size $n$ of vectors $P(S,n)$. Since the $\lambda'_j$ are in a sense random numbers for chaotic systems, and the expression of $S$, Eq. (20) consists of a summation over $\lambda'_j$, therefore, the central limit theorem implies that $S$ follows a Gaussian distribution at least asymptotically. Following [22], we find that asymptotically $P(S,n)$ has the following general analytical form:

$$P(S,n) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n \Phi^*(S)}} \exp\left[-n \Phi(S)\right],$$

(22)

where $\Phi(S)$ is a convex quadratic function with minimum zero, occurring at $S = \mu_S$, i.e., $\Phi(\mu_S) = 0$, $\Phi'(\mu_S) = 0$, $\Phi''(\mu_S) > 0$. Expanding $\Phi(S)$ around $\mu_S$ and neglecting higher order terms, we write Eq. (22) as

$$P(S,n) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n \Phi^*(S)}} \exp\left(-n \Phi'(S)(S - \mu_S)^2/2\right),$$

(23)

which gives a familiar looking form of a Gaussian distribution. Then,

$$\sigma_S = [n \Phi'(S)]^{-1/2}.$$  

(24)

A similar expression for distribution and variance could also be written for the local Lyapunov exponents [22], where $\Phi(\lambda_i)$ is known as the spectrum of the local Lyapunov exponents and can be used for characterizing the dynamics of the system [64–66]. So, as an analogy we propose that $\Phi(S)$ can also be used to characterize the dynamics. The distribution of $S$ for different types of dynamics may follow a Gaussian distribution of the type $P(S,n)$ asymptotically, but each type of dynamics must correspond to unique $\mu_S$ and $\sigma_S$. This is because of the fact that each type of dynamics has a unique $\varphi$ [see Eq. (4)] and hence unique eigenvalues and the corresponding deformations and values of $S$ should also be unique. In future research, we intend to develop a method based on estimation of $\Phi(S)$ to classify distinct dynamics.

III. DYNAMICAL TRANSITION INDUCED BY COEVOLVING PARAMETERS

In the numerical example above, values of $\sigma_S$ could distinguish two distinct chaotic regimes and SNA, demonstrating that $\sigma_S$ can be used to distinguish different types of dynamics. A similar example about the capability of $\sigma_S$ in identifying distinct dynamics was presented in [1] for the logistic map, where $\sigma_S$ was used to uncover all of the transitions induced there by variation of the parameter in the map, including period-chaos transitions, intermittency, and chaos-chaos transitions. An important point is that in these examples we had access to a whole time series at each value of the parameter. However, in many applications we do not have the luxury of a whole time series being available at a single value of the control parameters; rather, the more common real-world situation involves control parameters coevolving with the system dynamics [13,24–26]. In such cases, we only have very few points available at a particular value of the control parameter. For example, in the study of paleoclimate, we have few observations of a climate variable via proxies, while the parameters driving climate like solar insolation coevolve with these climate variables in time leading to transitions in the dynamics [1,11,17,26,30]. Other examples of such situations are readily imagined in social dynamics, where we have very few observations of social indices while the parameters driving social dynamics, such as economic and political factors, coevolve with variables of interest [13,18–20]. A further example is in neuroscience, where event-related potentials (ERP) measured by electroencephalography (EEG) show several distinct dynamical behaviors as a response to changing stimuli [67,68].

As a conceptual model for studying such transitions in the presence of coevolving parameters, we consider

$$\dot{y} = f(y, \zeta(t)),$$

(25)

where $y$ is a set of variables of a dynamical system and $\zeta(t)$ is a parameter evolving in time. A variety of qualitative changes in the dynamics of the system may be generated by the passing of $\zeta(t)$ through a bifurcation point or even the rate of change of $\zeta(t)$. Some of the system changes may be subtle, such as shifting from one regime of complex chaotic dynamics to another chaotic dynamics of higher or lower complexity [3,17,24–26]. In the numerical examples following this section, we let the parameter simultaneously evolve with variables of the system, in order to better test the method for practical utility in real systems.

A statistical test for assisting with a more automatized identification of dynamical transitions presented in [1] is briefly described here again for convenience. To test the relative statistical significance of two values of $\sigma_S$ belonging to distinct dynamics we use a bootstrapping procedure where we randomly draw $n$ values with replacement from the series of $S_{ij+1}$, where $n$ is the window size used in calculation of $\sigma_S$. Repeating this procedure several thousand times, we generate an ensemble of values of $\sigma_S$ and interpret the 0.05 and 0.95 quantiles of this ensemble as the 90% confidence bounds. The values of $\sigma_S$ outside this bound are then classified as belonging to dynamics of two distinct complexities with 90% confidence. The time band over which the crossover between the two levels occurs contains the point of dynamical transition. The points with lower values of $\sigma_S$ may be regarded as belonging to dynamical regimes which are relatively more stable and lower in dynamical complexity.

A. Identifying drift in the dynamics (nonstationarity)

In order to explore the challenge of identifying a continuous drift in the dynamics of a time series, we consider the generalized Baker’s map [69], with a time series generated following the procedure described in [6]:

$$v_i < \alpha : u_{i+1} = \beta u_i, \quad v_i = v_i/\alpha,$$
$$v_i > \alpha : u_{i+1} = 0.5 + \beta u_i, \quad v_i = (v_i - \alpha)/(1 - \alpha),$$

(26)

with Lyapunov exponents

$$\lambda_1 = \alpha \ln \frac{1}{\alpha} + (1 - \alpha) \ln \frac{1}{1 - \alpha},$$
$$\lambda_2 = \ln \beta.$$  

(27)

(28)
FIG. 7. (Color online) Identifying drift in the dynamics of the Baker’s map with continuously changing parameter β. As indicated in Eq. (27), λ₁ is constant with respect to β. In contrast, λ₂ = ln β. We observe σ₅ changing from significantly higher values to significantly lower values as the time progresses. A quadratic fit describes this evolution (red curve), indicating the nonlinear change of parameter of the system.

Introducing a drift in the parameter β as done in [6], we generate a time series of length 15 000 with fixed α = 0.4 and varying β = i/15 000. We remove the trend from the resulting time series by the transformation

\[ x_i = \frac{w_i - \langle w \rangle_k}{\sqrt{\langle w_i^2 \rangle_k - \langle w \rangle_k^2}}. \]

where \( w_i = u_i + v_i \) and averages are taken locally over the \( k = 50 \) nearest times.

Ignoring the initial transient, we consider only a relatively short section of the time series 1000 ≤ i ≤ 7000 (cf. the 40 000 data points used in [6]). The top panel in Fig. 7 shows the times series and the middle panel shows the evolution of λ₂. Observe that there is no visibly apparent trend in the time series even though λ₂ is continuously evolving. Using embedding parameters \( m = 5 \) and \( L = 2 \), we observe in Fig. 7 that values of σ₅ drift from significantly higher values (orange dots) to significantly lower ones (green dots), indicating a dynamical drift that has occurred during this time interval. This evolution of σ₅ can be described by a quadratic fit, as shown in Fig. 7 (lowest panel) with thick red line. Given the limited range of β here, λ₂ = ln β can be approximated by quadratic polynomial in β. Therefore, the dynamical drift defined by λ₂ should be visible in the form of a quadratic trend in any other measure of dynamical complexity. It seems σ₅ is very well able to capture this feature of the dynamics.

### B. Transition between transient chaos and Lorenz’s attractor

In order to explore a continuous-time example with a transition from transient chaos to a chaotic attractor, we next consider the Lorenz system

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= a(y - x), \\
\dot{y} &= x(y - z) - y, \\
\dot{z} &= xy - bz,
\end{align*}
\]

with \( a = 10 \) and \( b = \frac{8}{3} \). The chaotic Lorenz attractor exists for \( \gamma > 24.74 \), whereas transient chaos exists for \( 13.9 < \gamma < 24.06 \). For the transition zone \( 24.06 < \gamma < 24.74 \), there is a coexistence of three attractors: two steady states and one a chaotic attractor [70]. The transient chaos disappears due to a crisis at \( \gamma \approx 24.06 \) and Lorenz’s attractor emerges as the only possible stable attractor due to a subcritical Hopf bifurcation at \( \gamma \approx 24.74 \). We investigate a time series of the \( x \) variable as generated by a fourth-order Runge-Kutta numerical solution with time step \( h = 10^{-4} \) that is sampled every \( 10^{3} \) time steps. We sample 6000 such time points during which \( \gamma \) is varied linearly from 23.5 to 25.25 (\( \gamma = \gamma_0 + 2.92 \times 10^{-4}t \), where \( \gamma_0 = 23.5 \) and \( t \) is the integration time). This variation leads the system to pass from transient chaos to a transition zone (crisis and subcritical Hopf transitions) to the formation of the Lorenz attractor (Fig. 8).

To calculate σ₅ for this example, we have used \( m = 10 \), \( L = 10 \), and a window size of 300 with 90% overlap. A detailed explanation for our choice of rather higher values of embedding parameters is provided in Sec. III F. The calculated values of σ₅ are shown in the lowest panel of Fig. 8. We observe lower values of σ₅ (green open squares) predominantly below the confidence bound for transient chaos and higher values (orange dots) predominantly above the confidence bound for the Lorenz attractor, distinguishing the two dynamical regimes in this time series. The transition zone (gray shaded region) not only contains multiple transitions but also multiple attractors, which is also reflected in the values of σ₅ jumping between green open squares and orange dots. Due to the fact that the formation of an attractor is temporally delayed [71], and we also lose a few initial points due to windowing and embedding, the transitions are typically rightward shifted, as expected.

### C. Abrupt transitions between chaos and intermittent chaos

We next explore abrupt jumps between distinct dynamical regimes, by way of an Ikeda map. In this map, two different kinds of chaos can be observed by changing one of the parameters. The first type of chaos is distinguished from the second one by the existence of intermittent bursts of activity. The phenomenon that describes this feature is called an interior
FIG. 9. (Color online) Identifying abrupt transition between chaos and intermittent chaos induced by an interior crisis. The iterations of the Ikeda map [Eq. (30)] for \( \eta = 7.2 \) and 7.4 belong to the precrisis chaos and the postcrisis intermittent chaos, respectively. \( y_j \) is the imaginary part of \( z_j \). Observe the values of \( \sigma_S \) jumping between the green color markers (open squares) and the orange color markers (dots) as \( \eta \) is abruptly changed between two dynamical regimes. The vertical gray bars indicate the region where transitions should be found and their width is equal to the window size \( n \).

crisis or an attractor merging crisis, where a chaotic attractor suddenly widens while still spending long stretches of time within the region of the former attractor [72–74]. The Ikeda map is given by

\[
z_{j+1} = a + b z_j \exp \left( i \kappa \frac{i \eta}{1 + |z_j|^2} \right)
\]

(30)

with \( z = x + iy \) complex [22,75]. We consider the parameters \( a = 0.85, b = 0.9, \) and \( \kappa = 0.4 \), for which we will have chaos for \( \eta < \eta_c = 7.2688489 \) and intermittent chaos above the critical value (\( \eta > \eta_c \)) [76]. We here make \( \eta \) abruptly change between \( \eta = 7.2 \) (precrisis) and 7.4 (postcrisis) in every 100th iteration of the map to generate a time series of the variable \( y_j = \text{Im}(z_j) \) of length \( N = 500 \) (i.e., containing four transitions between precrisis chaos and postcrisis intermittent chaos in a relatively short time series).

In Fig. 9, we observe that \( \sigma_S \) (calculated with window size \( n = 50 \) and embedding parameters \( m = 5 \) and \( L = 5 \)) correctly identifies these four transitions in the time series of the variable \( y_j \). We note that \( \sigma_S \) has higher values (orange dots) for intermittent chaos (\( \eta = 7.4 \)) and lower values (green open squares) for chaos (\( \eta = 7.2 \)). The width of the gray bands is equal to \( n \) and they are centered at the transitions and hence the jump in the values of \( \sigma_S \) between different dynamical regimes is expected to occur within these bands. This example also illustrates the capability of the method to identify transitions even in the presence of short lived nonstationarity in the form of intermittent burst in the dynamics.

D. Tolerance of the measure against observational noise

To test the influence of observational noise on FLUS, we again consider the example of the Rössler model (9). In this system, two topologically distinct attractors exist, namely, spiral type chaos for \( a = 0.32 \) and screw type chaos for \( a = 0.38 \). Somewhere in the interval \( 0.32 < a < 0.38 \), a transition from screw to spiral type chaos occurs via the formation of a homoclinic orbit [77,78].

We generate a test time series for our method by varying the control parameter \( a \) by \( a(t) = 0.32 + 0.07 \sin(\frac{\pi}{600}t) \) where \( t \) is the integration time, and we have used a fourth-order Runge-Kutta integrator with \( h = 10^{-3} \). We sample 6000 points of the \( x \) component separated in time by 200\( h \). The control parameter \( a \) crosses the transition point four times during this time series [see Fig. 10(c)]. While this example system was also discussed in [1], we do so here in the context of observational noise (the present section) and missing values (in the next section).

We add simple white noise to this time series, adding to each time point a random variable \( \xi \) from a normal distribution with mean 0 and standard deviation \( \sigma(\xi) = \eta \sigma(x) \), where \( \sigma(x) \) is the observed standard deviation of the original time series [see Fig. 10(b)]. We vary the strength of noise by varying \( \eta \); for instance, \( \eta = 0.01 \) corresponds to a 1\% noise level in the signal. We test the tolerance of the measure against three different noise levels here, viz., 1\%, 5\%, and 7\% [see Figs. 10(d)–10(f)]. The error bars on the values of \( \sigma_S \) are obtained by generating 1000 different realizations of noise at each level. We have replaced the significance levels from dotted red lines to solid red lines, as these are the mean of the significance levels for the different realizations of the noise. In Figs. 10(d)–10(f), we observe that all the transitions seem to remain intact for all the different levels of noise. This is a clear indication that this method is robust against nominal levels of noise. We have also attempted the above numerical experiment
with some other models, and the results of those experiments also demonstrate similar robustness of this method against nominal levels of noise. The embedding parameters used for every level of noise are exactly the same: $m = 10$ and $L = 15$ with window size of 300 and 90% overlap. These parameters are also the same as used in [1] to discuss the same example system in the absence of noise.

E. Strategy for treatment of missing values

Apart from shortness of the data, another central problem which surrounds data analysis is irregular sampling or missing values [79–81]. This is a common problem in fields such as astronomy, medical, earth, and social sciences [79–84]. We here propose a strategy to deal with missing values while using FLUS. To generate a test time series, we consider the same Rössler model as used in the previous section and randomly remove some of the values in the time series. The number of time points removed from the time series is given in terms of percentage of missing values. A straightforward application of the FLUS method will not work in such a case, due to the incompatibility of embedding a time series in delayed coordinates with missing values. The first step of our strategy for dealing with missing values involves replacing the missing values with a flag (e.g., a NaN character). Then, we continue to embed the time series in time delayed coordinates, with some of the coordinates just being the flags. But, this would make the numerical calculation of a distance metric impossible. To get over this issue, we recommend to use the Chebyshev distance rather than Euclidean distance as done elsewhere in this work. Chebyshev distance between two vectors $\mathbf{x}_j$ and $\mathbf{x}_i$ is given by $\|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i\| = \max_i(|x'_j - x'_i|)$, where $x'_j$ is the $i$th component of the vector $\mathbf{x}_j$. It ignores the non-numerical flags and maximum is only calculated over the numerical values. Thus, it returns non-numerical values only in the rare case when all the components of both the vectors are non-numerical flags. Using the same delay and embedding dimensions as in the previous section, we present the result at different amounts of missing values in Figs. 11(c)–11(e). The error bar on the values of $\sigma_S$ were obtained from 1000 different realizations of missing values. The solid horizontal red lines are again the mean significance levels for different realizations of missing values. In Fig. 11 we observe that the above strategy seems to work with a reasonable amount of missing values in the data. The embedding parameter used in this case was exactly the same as used in previous example.

F. A note about embedding parameters, window size, and length of the time series

In the examples above, we have used a rather high embedding dimension, which is due to the fact that the systems we are considering have one of its parameters varying with time (such as Bakers’ map, and Lorenz system with a drift, and Rössler system with nonlinear transitions). This converts the systems into nonautonomous systems, Taken’s theorem is not valid for such a system. Hence, we can not take the embedding dimension $2m + 1$ as prescribed by the Taken’s theorem ($m$ is the known dimension of the system) [43,85]. Although there is no specific embedding theorem for such systems, heuristic arguments in [86] state that a proper choice for the embedding dimension should be larger than $2(m + P)$ where $P$ is the number of time varying parameters of the system. It has been suggested that this technique of “overembedding” a time series helps in overcoming both nonstationarity and noise effects [86,87]. We will continue using high embedding dimension in the next section, where we apply our method to the analysis of crime record’s time series, as these time series have originated from a system (society) which is not only high dimensional, but also a large parameter space. So, $2(m + P)$ must be a large number. In the crime record’s time series used below, apart from visible nonstationarity the time series also has a high amount of noise which is also visible by eye and via its power spectrum. Hence, a high embedding dimension is an appropriate choice.

In Fig. 3, we have shown a quick convergence of $\sigma_S$ on taking large enough window sizes, which in turn gives the measure dependence on the structure of the attractor through the effective dimension $D_F$. By taking overlapping windows, we avoid reducing the amount of data appreciably. The presented method differs in one very basic aspect from other methods, in particular those based on recurrence properties. In many of them, one first takes a window over the data (or embedded vectors) and then calculates some measure based on the recurrence property [2,7,9,10,88]. This creates a relationship between windowing, dimension, and delay. In our method, we follow a different approach: first the recurrence distances of a point over the whole time series are calculated and, then, by comparing each consecutive time point, we calculate the measure $S_j|j+1$ for each point. Up to this step,
we have no windowing. In the next step, we calculate the fluctuations in this measure by taking windows. The way we have defined the significance test, the window size now helps in resolving time scales on which we wish to see the transitions. The real task of windowing is to give control over resolving time scales for transitions.

Another crucial point is the minimum length of the time series required to apply this method. The only requirement for the method to work is that we should have enough points so that a convergent value of $\sigma_S$ can be obtained. We observe the quick convergence of $\sigma_S$ in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), where $\sigma_S$ converges over only a few hundred points. In general, a minimum of few hundred points should be sufficient to apply this method. An exception to this requirement may occur in a case where the rate of occurrence of transitions is faster than the time the attractor takes to set in.

IV. APPLICATION TO SOCIAL DYNAMICS

Now, we present an application of our method to an observed time series in social dynamics. Crime rates in society might be interpreted as following some nonlinear dynamics and affected by political, economic, and social situations [89]. Analytic methods of time series analysis and agent based modeling have been used to predict and quantify the evolution of crime rates in different settings and societies [90–94]. Various methods from the rich paradigm of nonlinear time series analysis do not appear to have been applied to available data sets of crime records. We here analyze time series of robberies and homicides in the United States from 1975 to 1993 with monthly resolution. With this analysis, we attempt to understand the nature of relationship if any between unemployment and robberies, and unemployment and homicides over this period [91,95,96].

A. Data source

The source of data studied here on monthly robberies and monthly homicides is ICPSR (Interuniversity Consortium for Political and Social Research) study 6792 (Uniform Crime Reports: Monthly Weapon-Specific Crime and Arrest Time Series, 1975–1993). The source of unemployment data is the US Bureau of Labor Statistics (http://www.bls.gov/data/), using the monthly levels of unemployment for the whole US for the period 1975–1993. In Figs. 12(a) and 13(a), black lines correspond to monthly robberies and monthly homicides, respectively, and blue dotted lines represent the unemployment rate over the same period. For the results following, we have removed the linear trend from monthly robberies and monthly homicides time series by subtracting a linear least squares fit to the data.

B. Results

The calculation of $\sigma_S$ for the monthly robberies and homicides time series was done using a window size of 20 months with 90% overlap, embedding dimension 12, and delay of 3, plotted in Figs. 12(b) and 13(b). As emphasized in the discussion above, higher values of $\sigma_S$ correspond to greater variability or complexity in the dynamics; while low values correspond to low complexity in the dynamics. For
the monthly robberies time series in Fig. 12(b) we observe low values of \( \sigma_S \) until 1982 (green open squares). Between 1983 and 1985, we also observe lower values of \( \sigma_S \) but in a statistically insignificant regime (black plus signs). Then, close to 1987, there is a significant increase in the values of \( \sigma_S \) (orange dots) and the values cross the significance band during a transition between the period 1987–1990 (highlighted by a gray band). We uncover a similar transition in Fig. 13(b) occurring close to 1987 (see the gray band in both figures covering the period 1987–1990.)

If we closely observe the original time series of robberies and homicides, then it is visible even to the naked eye that there are higher variabilities and larger fluctuations after this period. A fact to be noted here is that crimes in the US across all categories of crime started to drop in the 1990s and this drop has continued since [97–100]. Several reasons have been hypothesized for this decrease, including increased incarceration [101], more police [102], the decline of crack use [103], legalized abortion [97], improvement in the quantity and quality of security [99], and changing demographics [100].

Our time series analysis above only brings forward the point that some fundamental change in the dynamics of crime in the US occurred in the late 1980s and early 1990s, leading to a continuous drop in the crime rate in the following decades.

In Figs. 12(c) and 13(c), the continuous color variation gives the cross correlation \( \rho \) between \( \sigma_S \) and unemployment rate averaged over exactly the same time windows as \( \sigma_S \). The blue curves in the middle panels of Figs. 12 and 13 correspond to this averaged unemployment rate. In the case of unemployment and robberies, we observe high positive values of cross correlation (\( \rho \sim 1.0 \)) between the two curves from 1979 to 1989 and then an abrupt breakdown of this correlation, indicating some fundamental shift in the crimes related to robberies around this time. In our second case of homicides, however, we do not observe any such relation between unemployment and homicides: the values of cross correlation between \( \sigma_S \) and average unemployment are rather low starting in the mid 1980s, fluctuating between negative and positive values. That is, the signals of unemployment rate driving variability and complexity in dynamics of robberies before the 1990s are quite apparent but they do not seem to play a similarly significant role in homicides.

Sociologists have pointed out that the relationship between unemployment and robberies is a rather complex one: increasing unemployment increases the criminal motivation (unemployed individuals are more motivated to indulge in robbery for their financial needs and survival) but it also decreases the criminal opportunity (more men start to stay at home, so less opportunity for criminals to break into homes), creating a counter balancing effect [104]. Hence, we can not expect a linear relationship between both. We have also not observed a strong linear correlation (see Fig. 14) or a Granger causal relationship between these two variables. What our above analysis shows is that unemployment may have been driving the complexity or variability in the dynamics of robberies prior to the late 1980s and early 1990s. The breakdown in this relationship corresponds to a time period when the crime rate in the US started to steadily drop, due to several reasons discussed in detail in Refs. [97–103].

FIG. 14. (Color online) (a) Scatter plot between the level of unemployment and monthly robberies. (b) Scatter plot between the level of unemployment and monthly homicides. \( \rho \) is the cross correlation between the plotted variables and the red color line is the linear fit. Note the low correlations between the plotted variables in both (a) and (b).

An important perspective in criminology has been conflict theory, where it is considered that economic deprivations influence crime rates [92], but there does not exist a conclusive empirical support for this relationship [95,96,105]. In our analysis, if we treat the unemployment rate as being one of the economic indicators, then we observe an episodic relationship between robberies and unemployment but the same can not be said for homicides. Undoubtedly, multiple interconnected factors including economic indicators drive crime rates. It is not possible to discern all such relationships with our method.

To accept or reject the economic deprivations perspective of crime, one would need to do an extensive analysis of different social and economic indicators. Our method can only help in identifying certain fundamental shifts or transitions in the dynamics of the system at different temporal scales, although some of those transitions would be in the realm of speculation until and unless we have some independent means to verify them.

V. CONCLUSION

Developing a set of methods that can be used to distinguish distinct dynamical regimes and transitions between them in a given time series has been a challenge in nonlinear time series analysis with wide applicability in a variety of fields. We have recently proposed the method FLUS, based on computation of nonlinear similarities between time points of a univariate time series [1]. FLUS is robust, automatized, and computationally simple and can be used even in cases with shorter time series, or missing values, or observational noise. Here, we have presented some new analytical findings, where we have related this measure to some classical concepts in nonlinear dynamics such as attractor dimensions and Lyapunov exponents. We have shown that the new measure has linear dependence on the variation of change in dimensionality or complexity of the attractor. Also, it measures the variance of the sum of the Lyapunov spectrum. One of the problems we have studied in detail with this method is identification of transitions in dynamics when the parameters of the system are also evolving with dynamics. The proposed method is able to
identify these most subtle of transitions, even including those where the evolution of parameters induces only a drift or nonstationarity in the dynamics. Also, employing a wide variety of prototypical model systems we have demonstrated the practical usefulness of this method.

Furthermore, we have used this method to analyze a time series from social dynamics, studying time series of US crime from 1975 to 1993. In doing so, we have attempted to understand the nature of the relationship between crime rates (robbery and homicides) and unemployment levels during this period. We have found a dynamical transition in the late 1980s in both homicide and robbery rates and during this period. We have found a dynamical transition rates (robbery and homicides) and unemployment levels to understand the nature of the relationship between crime from 1975 to 1993. In doing so, we have attempted the practical usefulness of this method.

Furthermore, we have used this method to analyze a time series from social dynamics, studying time series of US crime from 1975 to 1993. In doing so, we have attempted to understand the nature of the relationship between crime rates (robbery and homicides) and unemployment levels during this period. We have found a dynamical transition in the late 1980s in both homicide and robbery rates and during this period. We have found a dynamical transition rates (robbery and homicides) and unemployment levels to understand the nature of the relationship between crime from 1975 to 1993. In doing so, we have attempted the practical usefulness of this method.
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