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Statistically distinguishing between phase-coherent and noncoherent chaotic dynamics from time series is a contemporary problem in nonlinear sciences. In this work, we propose different measures based on recurrence properties of recorded trajectories, which characterize the underlying systems from both geometric and dynamic viewpoints. The potentials of the individual measures for discriminating phase-coherent and noncoherent chaotic oscillations are discussed. A detailed numerical analysis is performed for the chaotic Rössler system, which displays both types of chaos as one control parameter is varied, and the Mackey-Glass system as an example of a time-delay system with noncoherent chaos. Our results demonstrate that especially geometric measures from recurrence network analysis are well suited for tracing transitions between spiral- and screw-type chaos, a common route from phase-coherent to noncoherent chaos also found in other nonlinear oscillators. A detailed explanation of the observed behavior in terms of attractor geometry is given.


Oscillatory processes can be frequently observed in natural and technological systems. Often, the corresponding dynamics is not strictly periodic, but shows more complex temporal variability patterns characterized by a fast divergence of trajectories with arbitrarily close initial conditions.1–3 There are numerous examples of such chaotic oscillators for which long-term predictions of amplitudes and phases are not possible. Therefore, studying their phase dynamics has recently attracted particular interest, e.g., regarding the process of phase synchronization between different coupled systems.4,5 However, most existing methods suitable for this purpose require the explicit definition of an appropriate phase variable, which can become a non-trivial problem in the case of noncoherent chaotic oscillations. Therefore, studying the phase coherence properties of chaotic systems has become an important problem in both theoretical and experimental studies.6 In this work, we propose some methods based on the concept of recurrences in phase space, which allow studying complementary aspects of chaotic oscillators relating to the geometric structure of, and the dynamics on the attractor. Specifically, we derive a detailed characterization of changes of the geometric structure of complex systems in phase space with varying control parameters, which accompany transitions from phase-coherent to noncoherent dynamics.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the last decades, the complexity of chaotic oscillators has been widely characterized by a variety of different quantities inspired from nonlinear dynamical systems theory.7,8 Lyapunov exponents9,10 describe the characteristic time-scale associated with the finite-time exponential divergence of nearby chaotic orbits and, thus, relate directly to the predictability horizon of the dynamics. Fractal dimensions and entropies measure the structural complexity of the underlying attractor, often based on concepts from information theory.

In contrast to the aforementioned concepts, in many situations, one is interested in explicitly characterizing the phase dynamics of the recorded nonlinear oscillations. However, depending on the structural properties of the chaotic oscillations under study, it may be difficult to assign a well-defined phase variable to the observed dynamics. This problem predominantly occurs in the presence of noisy oscillations; however, also in the fully deterministic case, one frequently observes oscillations without a distinct center of rotation in phase space, e.g., in the funnel regime [see Fig. 1(b)] of the Rössler system.11

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} & = -y - z, \\
\dot{y} & = x + ay, \\
\dot{z} & = 0.4 + z(x - 8.5).
\end{align*}
\] (1)

In case of such noncoherent oscillations, the appropriate definition and the analysis of the phase dynamics are challenging. Therefore, given the rising number of examples of real-world chaotic oscillators, the problem of automatically distinguishing between phase-coherent (PC) and noncoherent (NPC) chaos is of practical relevance. Traditionally, this problem has been considered by studying the phase diffusion
properties of the system under study. However, in order to apply this conceptual idea, an appropriate phase variable has to be defined in advance.

In this work, we propose an alternative approach based on the recurrence properties of a dynamical system’s trajectory in phase space for quantitatively characterizing whether or not an observed chaotic dynamics is phase-coherent. In contrast to the explicit study of phase diffusion, the corresponding concepts do not rely on an explicit definition of a phase variable. We emphasize that this fact has already motivated using recurrence-based properties for studying synchronization processes of coupled NPC oscillators and time-delay systems.

Generally, recurrence properties can be conveniently analyzed by using recurrence plots (RPs), originally introduced in the seminal work by Eckmann et al., which provide an intuitive visualization of the underlying temporal structures. For this purpose, one defines the recurrence matrix as a binary representation of whether or not pairs of observed state vectors on the same trajectory are mutually close in phase space. Given two state vectors and (where and are time indices), this proximity is most commonly characterized by comparing the length of the difference vector between and with a prescribed maximum distance , i.e.,

$$ R_{ij}(\varepsilon) = \Theta(\varepsilon - \|x_i - x_j\|), \tag{2} $$

where is the Heaviside function and a norm (e.g., Euclidean, Manhattan, or maximum norm). In this work, we will specifically choose the maximum norm for defining distances in phase space, since it has lower computational demands than other norms. However, the choice of a different norm would not change the presented results qualitatively. The properties of RPs have been intensively studied for different kinds of dynamics, including periodic, quasiperiodic, chaotic, and stochastic dynamics.

It has been shown that, among other features, the length distributions of diagonal and vertical structures in RPs can be used for defining a variety of measures of complexity, which characterize properties such as the degree of determinism or laminarity of the system. The resulting toolbox of recurrence quantification analysis (RQA) has been widely applied for studying phenomena from various scientific disciplines.

In this work, however, we will utilize some complementary conceptual approaches also based on RPs, which do not belong to the set of classical RQA measures. Based on Eq. (2), we will discuss the properties based on the recurrence time (RT) statistics and so-called -recurrence networks (RN). The underlying methodological concepts are briefly described in Sec. II and, subsequently, applied to two realizations of the Rössler system in PC and NPC regimes, respectively. Following the results obtained for this example, potential new statistical indicators for phase coherence based on the recurrence properties of the underlying system are introduced in Sec. III and compared to other established as well as novel measures based on phase diffusion and Poincaré return times, respectively. Application to a complete bifurcation sequence of the Rössler system in Sec. IV demonstrates the feasibility of the recurrence-based approaches. The geometric consequences of the transition from PC (spiral-type) to NPC (screw-type) chaos and their impact on the recurrence properties are discussed. As a second example, the behavior of the recurrence based measures is illustrated for the Mackey-Glass system in a parameter range including transitions between periodic and NPC chaotic behaviors.

II. METHODS

A. Recurrence time statistics

Complementary to RQA, another natural way for characterizing the recurrence properties of dynamical systems in phase space is statistically evaluating the distribution of RTs, which has been applied to both chaotic and stochastic systems. In contrast to return times with respect to a fixed Poincaré surface, recurrence times refer to the time intervals after which the trajectory enters the neighborhood of a previously visited point in phase space. demonstrated that, similar to some line-based RQA measures, characteristics based on the RT distributions can be used for detecting subtle dynamical transitions, which motivated using a corresponding approach for testing against stationarity. Besides their immediate importance for studies on extreme events, recurrence times have also proven their potential for the estimation of dynamical invariants such as the information dimension and the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy.

Given a RP, RTs can be identified as the lengths of non-interrupted vertical (or horizontal, since the recurrence matrix is symmetric) “white lines” that do not contain any recurrence (i.e., no pair of mutually close state vectors). More precisely, such a white line of length starts at the position in the RP if

$$ R_{ij+m} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = -1, \\ 0 & \text{for } m \in \{0, \ldots, \tau - 1\}, \\ 1 & \text{if } m = \tau. \end{cases} \tag{3} $$

In order to see this, for all times, the trajectory falls into an neighborhood of , then, for , it moves further away from , and at (where as proven). Hence, given a uniform sampling of the
trajectory in the time domain, the length of the line is propor-
tional to the time that the trajectory needs to return ε-close to
xi. Going beyond the concept of first-return times, the en-
ssemble of all recurrences to the ε-neighborhood of xi induces
a RT distribution for this specific point. Combining this in-
formation for all available points xi in a given time series
(i.e., considering the lengths of all white lines in the RP), one
obtains the RT distribution p(τ) associated with the observed
(sampled) trajectory in phase space. Hence, the length distribution p(l) of “white” vertical lines l in the RP
not containing any recurrent pair of observed state vectors
provides an empirical estimate of the distribution of RTs on
the considered orbit, which contains important information
about the dynamics of the system under investigation.

B. Recurrence network analysis

Recently, different approaches have been proposed for
studying the basic properties of time series from a complex
network perspective.43–45 Many existing methods for trans-
forming time series into network representations have in
common that they define the connectivity of a complex net-
work—similar to the spatio-temporal case—by the mutual
proximity of different parts (e.g., individual states, state
vectors, or cycles) of a single trajectory.44,45 Among other
related approaches, ε-RNs and their quantitative analysis
have been found to allow identifying transitions between dif-
ferent types of dynamics in a very precise way.44,46,47,50 In
order to construct the RN, we re-interpret the recurrence ma-
trix Rij; the main diagonal of which is removed for conve-
nience, as the adjacency matrix Aj,j of an undirected complex
network associated with the recorded trajectory, i.e.,

\[
A_{i,j} = R_{i,j}(\varepsilon) - \delta_{i,j},
\] (4)

where δi,j is the Kronecker delta. The vertices of this network
are given by the individual sampled state vectors on the tra-
jectory, whereas the connectivity is established according to
their mutual closeness in phase space. This definition of a
complex network provides a generic way for analyzing phase
space properties of chaotic attractors in terms of network to-
poloy.45,50 However, since the network topology is invariant
under permutations of vertices, the statistical properties
of RNs do not capture the dynamics on the attractor, but its
generic structure based on an appropriate sampling. In this
respect, we emphasize that since a single finite-time trajec-
tory does not necessarily represent the typical long-term
behavior of the underlying system, the resulting network
properties depend—among others—on the length N of the
considered time series (i.e., the network size), the probability
distribution of the data, embedding,45,51 sampling,44,46,50 etc. We
choose the threshold ε in such a way that the resulting RN
has a fixed edge density (recurrence rate) of RR = 0.03 unless
otherwise stated explicitly.

Although they primarily describe geometric aspects,
the topological features of RNs are closely related to invariant
properties of the underlying dynamical system.43,45,50,53 In
model systems (e.g., Rössler and Lorenz systems), both local
and global network properties have already been studied in
great detail.44,45,50,51 Among others, two particularly interest-
ing local measures are

1. the local clustering coefficient \( C_v \), which quantifies the
relative amount of closed triangles centered at a given
vertex \( v \) (i.e., at the associated point \( x_i \) in phase space) and
gives important information about the geometric structure of
the attractor within the ε-neighborhood of \( v \) in phase space50
and

2. betweenness centrality \( b_v \), which quantifies the frac-
tion of all shortest paths in a network that include a given
vertex \( v \).44 In a RN, vertices with high \( b_v \) correspond to
regions with low phase space density, which are located
between higher density regions. Hence, \( b_v \) yields information
about the local fragmentation of the attractor.45,51 Since in a
complex network, the values of \( b_v \) may span several orders
of magnitude; in the following, we will consider log \( b_v \) as a
characteristic measure for network topology.

In a RN, both \( C_v \) and \( b_v \) are sensitive to the presence
of unstable periodic orbits (UPOs), but resolve complementary
aspects.51 Specifically, in a continuous system, it is well-
known that if a chaotic trajectory enters the neighbor-
hood of an UPO, it stays within this neighborhood for a cer-
tain time.55 As a consequence, states accumulate along this
UPO instead of homogeneously filling the phase space in the
other regions. Hence, \( b_v \) yields information about the
local fragmentation of the attractor.45,51 Since in a
complex network, the values of \( b_v \) may span several orders
of magnitude; in the following, we will consider log \( b_v \) as a
characteristic measure for network topology.

In addition to the aforementioned vertex characteristics,
several global network measures have already proven to dis-
tinguish between qualitatively different types of behavior in
both discrete and continuous-time systems.43,45,47,49 Extending
these previous results to different appearances of chaotic
dynamics, we will consider four particular measures56–58 as
potential candidates for discriminatory statististics:

1. the global clustering coefficient \( C \), which gives the
arithmetic mean of the local clustering coefficient \( C_v \) taken
over all vertices \( v \);

2. network transitivity \( T \), which is closely related
to \( C \) (but gives less weight to poorly connected vertices)50
and globally characterizes the linkage relationships among
triples of vertices in a complex network (i.e., the probability
of a third edge within a set of three vertices given that the
two other edges are already known to exist),89

3. the average path length \( L \), which quantifies the aver-
age geodesic (graph) distance between all pairs of vertices,
and

4. the assortativity coefficient \( R \), which characterizes
the similarity of the connectivity at both ends of all edges in
the network (i.e., the correlation coefficient between the
degrees of all pairs of connected vertices).

Network transitivity and average path length have already
proven to provide an excellent discrimination between complex
periodic and chaotic orbits in a two-parameter bifurcation sce-
nario of the Rössler system.57 An analytical theory for comput-
ing the value of \( T \) from a known invariant density \( p(x) \).
revealed a strong relationship to a certain concept of generalized fractal dimensions. In this respect, high values of $T$ indicate the presence of a lower-dimensional structure in phase space corresponding to a more regular dynamics. In contrast, the average path length behaves differently for discrete and continuous-time dynamical systems. For maps, more regular dynamics is characterized by low values of $L$, whereas the opposite applies to chaotic oscillators.

C. Recurrence properties of phase-coherent and noncoherent Rössler systems

As a simple continuous-time deterministic dynamical system that exhibits both PC and NPC chaotic dynamics, we first study the behavior of the RP-based concepts described in Secs. II A and II B for the Rössler system [Eq. (1)]. In the following, we will use numerical simulations of this system for various parameters $a$, obtained with a fourth-order Runge-Kutta integrator with step width $h = 0.01$. The resulting trajectories have been down-sampled to $N = 10000$ data points with a sampling interval of $\Delta t = 0.2$, which avoids strong effects of trivial temporal correlations.

In order to illustrate qualitative differences in the behavior of the RP-based indicators for PC and NPC dynamics, we consider the individual cases $a = 0.165$ (PC) and $a = 0.265$ (NPC), respectively. A part of the resulting trajectories (projected onto the $(x,y)$-plane) is shown in Fig. 1. One clearly recognizes that the oscillations of the system have a well-defined center in the PC case, but no unique center for NPC chaos.

The RT distributions obtained for both examples are qualitatively different (see Fig. 2). Specifically, in the PC regime, the lengths of time intervals without any recurrences are peaked around multiples of the basic period of oscillations, with a maximum at three full periods of the system (note the logarithmic units in Fig. 2). This indicates that, in this regime, one distinct time-scale dominates the dynamics of the system. In contrast, in the NPC case, the distribution becomes much more irregular, which indicates that a multiplicity of time-scales is relevant in the observed chaotic dynamics. However, since the complex structures in the RT distributions have not yet been explicitly studied in previous work, it is not \textit{a priori} clear which kind of statistical property (e.g., mean recurrence time or the corresponding standard deviation) can be used for distinguishing between both cases. Specifically, since the recurrence time is related to the mean period of oscillations, its mean value varies considerably within the different dynamical regimes as the parameter $a$ is changed.

In contrast to the RT statistics, the local RN properties characterize higher-order features of the attractor geometry in phase space rather than dynamical aspects. While global network properties have been recently applied for automatically discriminating between chaos and periodic dynamics in a complex two-parameter bifurcation scenario of the Rössler system, we suggest that local properties are able to characterize even more subtle structural changes of the system. For the two considered test cases, Fig. 3 shows the pattern of the local clustering coefficient $C_v$ and betweenness centrality $b_v$ in phase space. It is clearly visible that both measures characterize different aspects of attractor geometry, which results in a correlation coefficient that is still significant, but not very large (Fig. 4). Specifically, both measures are somewhat sensitive to the presence of UPOs, which are densely embedded in the chaotic attractor. However, while the corresponding direct relationship has been theoretically established only for $C_v$ so far in terms of an effective local dimension of the attractor, $b_v$ is not a direct indicator for UPOs.

Studying the full probability distributions of both local network measures in some more detail (Fig. 5), we observe clear differences between PC and NPC dynamics. Specifically, all distributions are at least bimodal (which is partially related to the presence of UPOs leading to locally increased clustering coefficients), whereas the bimodality is more expressed in the phase-coherent case. Together with the general finding that the maxima of the respective distributions do not differ considerably, this result motivates considering simple statistical properties of the distributions of $C_v$ and $\log b_v$ for deriving novel indices for phase coherence. We will come back to this idea in Sec. III.

III. QUANTIFYING PHASE COHERENCE OF CHAOTIC OSCILLATORS

A. Phase and frequency of chaotic oscillators

In order to numerically study the phase coherence of chaotic oscillators, a reasonable definition of a phase variable is usually required first. While the derivation of optimum phase
variables has been recently attracted considerable interest,63–66 we restrict our attention in this work to the standard analytical signal approach. Here, a scalar signal \( x(t) \) is extended to the complex plane using the Hilbert transform,

\[
y(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{V} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x(t) - \langle x \rangle}{t-s} ds,
\]

where \( \mathcal{P} \mathcal{V} \) denotes Cauchy’s principal value of the integral, which yields the phase

\[
\phi(t) = \arctan \frac{y(t)}{x(t)}.
\]

We emphasize that this definition is appropriate for oscillations with a well-defined center in the origin of the \((x,y)\)-plane. Specifically, for PC dynamics, it is possible to find simple (linear) transformations of \( x \) and \( y \) (e.g., subtracting the mean) so that the oscillations are centered around the origin. In contrast, NPC dynamics is characterized by the non-existence of such a unique central point in phase space [cf. Fig. 1(b)]. As a result, defining the phase in the above way leads to a variable that does not monotonously increase with time. Within the framework of phase synchronization analysis, an alternative phase definition has, therefore, been proposed based on the local curvature properties of the analytical signal,67–69 i.e.,

\[
\tilde{\phi}(t) = \arctan \frac{dy(t)/dt}{dx(t)/dt}.
\]

We note that the proper evaluation of the derivatives in the latter equation may pose substantial numerical challenges, especially in the case of (noisy) experimental data.

The instantaneous frequency of a chaotic oscillation is defined as the derivative of the phase variable with respect to time. Averaging this property over time yields the mean frequency,

\[
\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d\phi(t)}{dt}.
\]

Since, in the standard Hilbert transform-based definition, the phase variable \( \phi(t) \) does not necessarily increase monotonously in time, we quantify this monotonicity in order to obtain a simple heuristic order parameter for phase coherence, which we will refer to as the coherence index

\[
CI = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \Theta(-\tilde{\phi}(t))dt,
\]

with \( \tilde{\phi}(t) = d\phi(t)/dt \).

### B. Traditional measures of phase coherence

The classical approach to characterizing phase coherence of chaotic oscillations is based on the second-order structure function (variogram) of the detrended phase \( \Phi(t) = \phi(t) - 2\pi \nu t_0 \),

\[
D_{\Phi}^2(s) = \left\langle (\Phi(t+s) - \Phi(t))^2 \right\rangle.
\]

Averaging this property over different realizations of the same process (or, as an alternative, over different time intervals captured by the same trajectory—note that both options can be considered equivalent as long as the system under study can be considered ergodic), one may approximately describe the dynamics of phase increments as a diffusion process.5,6,70–72 In this case, one obtains

\[
D_{\phi}^2(s) = B_1 s + B_0.
\]

Comparing this with classical (stochastic) diffusion processes yields the phase diffusion coefficient \( D = B_1/2 \). We note that the proper estimation of this quantity from a single trajectory may be challenging, since the detection of a proper scaling window in which the above linear relationship holds may be a nontrivial task. This is particularly true for NPC dynamics, where the appropriate definition of the phase variable \( \phi \) is crucial. We note that the numerical values of \( D \) depend on which of the phase definitions from Sec. III A is used.

As an alternative approach, in recent studies on the phenomenon of coherence resonance,73,74 it has been suggested using the coherence factor.
CF = \langle T \rangle / \sigma_T \tag{12}

(i.e., the coefficient of variation of Poincaré return times \(T_i\), with \(\langle T \rangle \) and \(\sigma_T\) denoting mean and standard deviation of \(T\)), as a measure of coherence of noise-induced oscillations. This approach can be directly transferred to the problem of distinguishing PC and NPC deterministic-chaotic oscillations, given a properly selected Poincaré section in phase space. However, we emphasize that in case of NPC chaotic oscillations, the choice of such a Poincaré section may be a difficult task itself.

C. RP-based indicators of phase coherence

Since the proper estimation of the phase diffusion coefficient \(D\) and coherence factor \(CF\) may be challenging, we will in the following use our results from Sec. II C for defining some novel indicators for phase coherence of chaotic oscillations based on RPs. As we have already observed, the appearance of the RT distribution \(p(\tau)\) is different for PC and NPC chaos. Since mean RT \(\langle \tau \rangle = \langle \tau(\epsilon) \rangle\) and the corresponding standard deviation \(\sigma_{\tau(\epsilon)}\) do not provide sufficient results when considered separately, we suggest using the coefficient of variation instead. This idea provides a straightforward generalization of the coherence factor \(CF\) (based on the return times with respect to a fixed Poincaré section) to a comparable measure based on the recurrence times to arbitrary \(\epsilon\)-neighborhoods of previously visited points in phase space. Consequently, we will refer to this measure as the generalized coherence factor,

\[
GCF = GCF(\epsilon) = \frac{\langle \tau(\epsilon) \rangle}{\sigma_{\tau(\epsilon)}}.
\tag{13}
\]

Complementary to this approach, we also consider measures characterizing the properties of the associated RNs. On the one hand, we suggest that some global network characteristics may be helpful for distinguishing between PC and NPC chaos, as they have already proven useful for discriminating between complex periodic and chaotic orbits. On the other hand, since the empirical distributions of the local RN measures \(C_v\) and \(\log b\) differ primarily with respect to their variance when comparing them for PC and NPC chaos (Fig. 5), we propose using the standard deviations \(\sigma_{C_v}\) and \(\sigma_{\log b}\) as two further alternative measures for phase coherence. In addition, it may be helpful also for considering higher-order statistics of the corresponding empirical distribution functions, e.g., their skewness \(\gamma_{C_v}\) and \(\gamma_{\log b}\).

IV. EXAMPLE I: BIFURCATION SCENARIO OF THE RÖSSLER SYSTEM

In order to systematically evaluate the performance of established as well as potential new RP-based indicators for phase coherence of chaotic oscillators, we study a part of the bifurcation scenario of the Rössler system [Eq. (1)], where the parameter \(a\) is systematically varied in the range [0.15, 0.3]. This parameter range comprises different kinds of dynamics, including periodic windows and PC as well as NPC chaotic oscillations. The transition between PC and NPC chaos occurs at \(a_c \approx 0.2\), which is in reasonable agreement with previous studies using a slightly different parameter setting (e.g., Ref. 68). Specifically, for \(a < a_c\), the observed chaotic attractors are always PC, whereas they are NPC for \(a > a_c\). In order to properly detect the location of periodic windows and systematically exclude them when comparing the values of our measures for PC and NPC chaos, the largest Lyapunov exponents \(\hat{\lambda}_{1,2}\) of the system are additionally computed.

A. Traditional and recurrence times-based measures

Figure 6 displays the variation of the Lyapunov exponents \(\hat{\lambda}_{1,2}\), the phase diffusion coefficient \(D\), the coherence index \(CI\), and the generalized coherence factor \(GCF\) when the parameter \(a\) is changed. One clearly observes that the different measures are able to detect the transition between PC and NPC oscillations at about \(a = 0.21\), but show different signatures in the presence of periodic windows. Specifically, the phase diffusion coefficient \(D\) takes values close to zero \((D < 10^{-3})\) in both the periodic and PC chaotic windows, but gets much larger in the NPC chaotic regime [Fig. 6(b)]. The latter observation coincides with a rather high variance for the NPC chaotic dynamics, which is mainly due to the subjectivity in choosing the scaling window for obtaining the linear regression parameters in Eq. (11). The coherence index \(CI\) [Fig. 6(c)] is zero for \(a \leq 0.2\), but strictly positive for higher values, including pronounced local maxima in the periodic windows (indicating that the periodic oscillations in these windows have no unique origin in the \((x,y)\)-plane either). In contrast, the generalized coherence factor based on the recurrence time distributions takes very low values for NPC chaos and higher ones for periodic and PC chaotic windows [Fig. 6(d)].

FIG. 6. Behavior of different measures for phase coherence for the Rössler system in dependence on the parameter \(a\) (error bars indicate standard deviations obtained from 100 independent realizations of the system for each value of \(a\)): (a) Largest Lyapunov exponents \(\hat{\lambda}_{1,2}\) (solid line, \(\Delta\) and \(\Lambda\) (dashed line) calculated from the dynamical equations, indicating the location of periodic windows, (b) phase diffusion coefficient \(D\), (c) coherence index \(CI\), and (d) generalized coherence factor \(GCF\) \((RR = 0.03)\). Shaded areas indicate the presence of periodic windows evaluated by means of the largest Lyapunov exponents.
B. Recurrence network measures

In a similar way as described above, the values of local as well as global RN measures have been computed for realizations of the system for different values of \(a\). Figure 7 shows the corresponding results. Regarding the global network characteristics, we find that the transitivity \(T\) has clearly higher values in the NPC regime in comparison to the phase-coherent chaos. In contrast, the assortativity coefficient \(R\) is clearly not capable of distinguishing both types of chaos, while a corresponding evaluation for \(C\) and \(L\) requires more detailed statistical analysis (see below). Regarding the two local RN measures \(C_v\) and \(\log b_v\), standard deviation and skewness of both quantities show significantly higher values for NPC chaos than in the PC case, which is to be expected due to the more complex structure of the attractor in phase space. In general, the fluctuations of RN measures between different realizations obtained for the same value of \(a\) are much larger in the NPC regime than for PC chaos. For the periodic windows, \(T\), \(C\), and \(L\) show pronounced maxima (which is consistent with previous findings\(^{47,50}\)), whereas \(\sigma_C\) clearly displays local minima. In contrast, the signatures in \(R\) and the betweenness-based measure \(\sigma_{\log b}\) are more complex.

C. Discriminatory skills of RP-based phase coherence indicators

In order to systematically compare the discriminatory skills of all proposed RP-based measures with respect to PC and NPC chaos, we divide the set of considered values of the control parameter \(a\) into three groups: one group \(S_0\) representing the periodic windows (characterized by a maximum Lyapunov exponent \(\lambda_1\) which does not significantly differ from zero within the numerical limits (i.e., \(\lambda_1 < \lambda^* = 0.02\)), and two groups \(S_1\) and \(S_2\) differentiated by whether or not the coherence index \(CI\) [Eq. (9)] does significantly differ from zero (i.e., \(CI(a) < CI^* = 0.001\) for PC chaos, \(CI(a) \geq CI^*\) for NPC chaos, respectively). Based on this initial discrimination, we may statistically evaluate whether or not main statistical characteristics of the distributions \(p(x|S_i)\) of the different measures \(x\) obtained for both groups \(S_1\) and \(S_2\) differ significantly. This problem can be solved by a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA),\(^{75}\) with the factor being determined by two classes of values of \(CI\). In order to evaluate whether the medians of some characteristic parameters in sets \(S_1\) and \(S_2\) differ significantly (given the variances of the empirically observed distribution functions), we perform a Mann-Whitney \(U\)-test,\(^{76,77}\) which can be considered as the equivalent of an \(F\)-test\(^{78}\) on the sets of rank numbers.

The results of our corresponding analysis are summarized in Table I and confirm our qualitative statements. Specifically, we observe that standard deviation and skewness of the distributions of \(C_v\) and \(\log b_v\) allow a statistical discrimination of both chaotic regime with very high confidence. For the global RN measures, only network transitivity \(T\) performs comparably well. The average path length \(L\) also guarantees a reliable discrimination, whereas global clustering coefficient \(C\) and assortativity coefficient \(R\) perform clearly worse. Finally, we find that the generalized coherence factor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>PC</th>
<th>NPC</th>
<th>(P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GCF</td>
<td>1.16 (0.02)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.0177 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.0064 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.67 (0.03)</td>
<td>2.08 (\times 10^{-12}) ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L)</td>
<td>6.56 (0.78)</td>
<td>8.12 (2.83)</td>
<td>1.59 (\times 10^{-7}) ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\sigma_C)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.2435 —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\sigma_{\log b})</td>
<td>0.07 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.02)</td>
<td>5.31 (\times 10^{-12}) ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma_C)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.09)</td>
<td>1.18 (\times 10^{-12}) ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma_{\log b})</td>
<td>0.39 (0.52)</td>
<td>-0.82 (0.62)</td>
<td>1.33 (\times 10^{-14}) ***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 7. Behavior of RN-based characteristics for the Rössler system in dependence on the parameter \(a\) (\(RR = 0.03\), error bars indicate standard deviations obtained from 100 independent realizations of the system for each value of \(a\)): (a) global clustering coefficient \(C\); (b) network transitivity \(T\); (c) average path length \(L\); (d) assortativity coefficient \(R\); and [(e) and (f)] standard deviation and [(g) and (h)] skewness of the local clustering coefficient and logarithmic betweenness centrality (\(\sigma_C\), \(\sigma_{\log b}\), \(\gamma_C\), and \(\gamma_{\log b}\), respectively).
GCF based on the RT distributions in principle also allows distinguishing between PC and NPC dynamics, however, on a much lower level of significance.

D. Impact of the homoclinic point on RN measures

A detailed inspection of the previously described findings reveals two interesting aspects: First, we observe that almost all RN-based measures show an overshooting close to the transition between PC and NPC chaos (see Fig. 7). Further investigations reveal that this effect does not result from a particular choice of sampling or the finite length of the considered realizations of the system (i.e., the presence of possibly transient behavior), but seems to be generic. Second, the behavior of the network transitivity $T$ seems to contradict recent general findings on the relationship between transitivity and effective attractor dimensions: the higher the effective dimension, the lower the RN transitivity. Specifically, the NPC regime has a higher dimension than PC chaos (this can be inferred from the higher maximum Lyapunov exponent indicating a higher Lyapunov dimension via the Kaplan-Yorke conjecture). Therefore, one has to expect that $T$ takes higher values in the PC regime than for NPC chaos, whereas Fig. 7(b) displays the opposite behavior. (In a similar way, given the known fact that for a comparable value of $a$, periodic orbits typically have a higher average path length than chaotic ones due to the formation of geometric “shortcuts,” one would also expect $L$ to be shorter in the NPC regime than for PC chaos, which is not consistent with the results in Table I.) As we will argue below, these observations can, however, be explained in terms of the specific attractor geometry of the Rössler system, which is characterized by the considered RN properties.

In order to understand the aforementioned overshooting as well as counter-intuitive behavior of RN measures, recall that the chaotic attractors of the Rössler system are characterized by the presence of a homoclinic point at the origin. In fact, the importance of the associated homoclinic orbit for the transition between spiral-type (PC) and screw-type (NPC) chaotic oscillations has been widely recognized for the Rössler system as well as other chaotic oscillators with a similar transition. Moreover, the control parameter $a$ increases within the PC chaotic regime, the attractor successively grows and finally extends to the vicinity of the origin shortly before the transition to the funnel regime. On the other hand, the dynamics in the $(x,y)$-plane becomes very slow whenever a trajectory on the chaotic attractor gets close to the homoclinic point, before getting rapidly “ejected” out that plane following the direction of the associated unstable manifold. Thus, the growth of the chaotic attractor towards the origin has two consequences: First, the statistical properties of the distribution of ejection and re-injection “events” with respect to the $(x,y)$-plane changes markedly as $a$ increases towards the transition point between PC and funnel regimes, which has a distinct effect on the overall recurrence properties of the system. This is reflected by the fact that the first return maps display one distinct differentiable extremum for spiral-type chaos, but several ones for screw-type chaos. Second, due to the slow dynamics close to the homoclinic point, there is a high density of sampled points on a trajectory in the neighborhood of the origin, because the residence probability in this part of the phase space increases sharply shortly before the transition point.

Within the framework of RNs, the accumulation effect around the origin becomes well expressed in terms of the distribution of degree centrality $k_c = \sum_{j \neq i} A_{i,j}$, another important local network measure. Specifically, while the mean degree $\langle k \rangle = (N-1)RR$ is constant when keeping the recurrence rate (edge density) fixed [Fig. 8(a)], the standard deviation increases strongly shortly before the transition between both chaotic regimes [Fig. 8(b)], which implies the presence of many vertices with high degree, i.e., the existence of a phase space region with a high probability density of the attractor. As a result, the local network transitivity in this distinct region increases significantly: since the neighborhoods of many vertices (in our case, those located close to the origin) are densely populated (high degree), they also show a high (local) clustering coefficient $[C_c \approx 1$, cf. Fig. 3(b)]. This local behavior translates into a higher global network transitivity $T$ [Fig. 7(b)] as well as a higher $\sigma_C$ [Fig. 7(e)]. In a similar way, we can explain the overshooting of $T$ and $\sigma_C$ close to the transition point, where the variance of the degree centralitity (and, hence, the density of points close to the origin) is the highest.

Regarding the effect on the path-based measures $L$ and $\sigma_{log b}$ we note that if we consider a fixed value of $\varepsilon$ (instead of a fixed $RR$) as $a$ is changed, we find no overshooting close to the transition between PC and NPC chaos [see Figs. 9(c) and 9(f)] (in a similar way, the corresponding effect is clearly reduced for $\sigma_{log b}$ as well). Recalling the meaning of $L$, this observation clearly indicates that the overall size of the attractor does not change markedly close to the transition point. Moreover, $L$ now takes larger values for PC chaos than in the NPC regime (Table II), which reflects the increasing geometric complexity of the attractor. In contrast to the path-based measures, the overshooting effect on the transitivity-based measures $T$ and $\sigma_C$ persists and becomes even enhanced for the global measures $T$ and $C$, while it is reduced for $\sigma_C$ and $\gamma_C$. We emphasize that with a fixed $\varepsilon$, the recurrence rate $RR$ becomes larger when increasing $a$ close to the transition point due to the accumulation of vertices close to the origin, which could explain the aforementioned behavior.

FIG. 8. Mean values $\langle k \rangle$ (a) and standard deviations $\sigma_k$ (b) of the distribution of degree centrality $k_c$ for the RNs obtained from 100 independent realizations (error bars indicating ensemble means and standard deviations) of the Rössler system ($N=10000$). The desired recurrence rate $RR = \langle k \rangle / (N-1) \approx 0.03$ has been approximated by selecting the threshold $\varepsilon$ based on a Monte Carlo sampling of inter-point distances from the trajectory in order to enhance computational efficiency.
V. EXAMPLE II: BIFURCATION SCENARIO OF THE MACKEY-GLASS SYSTEM

The scenario of a transition from spiral-type (PC) to screw-type (NPC) chaos is common to several nonlinear oscillators (for examples, see Refs. 84 and 85). However, there are further examples for NPC chaos in other types of complex systems, especially in time-delay systems. For illustrative purposes, in the following, we reexamine a part of the bifurcation scenario of the Mackey-Glass equation,27

\[ \dot{x}(t) = \frac{0.2x(t-\tau)}{1 + [x(t-\tau)]^{10}} - 0.1x(t), \]  

(14)
a well-studied time-delay system, for \( \tau \in [10, 20] \). In this parameter range, it is known that the system undergoes several transitions between periodic and NPC chaotic solutions28 (see Fig. 10). Note that unlike the Rössler system, the Mackey-Glass equation describes a time-delay system, i.e., an infinite-dimensional dynamical system.

Figure 11(a) shows the behavior of the maximum Lyapunov exponent with changing control parameter \( \tau \). For \( \tau > 16 \), the Mackey-Glass system switches back and forth between periodic limit-cycle oscillations (\( \lambda_1 = 0 \)) and chaotic solutions (\( \lambda_1 > 0 \)). However, the phase diffusion coefficient \( D \) starts increasing from almost zero to non-zero (but still very small) values only at somewhat larger \( \tau \) [Fig. 11(b)], pointing to a gradual loss of phase coherence with rising control parameter. We note that this finding is distinctively different from those made for the Rössler system, where the system undergoes a rather sharp transition from PC to NPC chaos. The behavior of the coherence index \( CI \) [Fig. 11(c)] based on the standard Hilbert phase even shows a clear transition towards significantly positive values before the establishment of the first chaotic solution. This fact is clearly related to the specific geometry of the attractor forming a small secondary loop structure after about \( \tau = 15 \) in the \((x(t), x(t-\tau))\)-plane [see Fig. 10(c)]. Finally, \( GCF \) [Fig. 11(d)] shows a sudden drop at \( \tau > 13 \) (due to the presence of a period-doubling bifurcation86 leading to marked changes in the RT distribution of the periodic solutions), followed by a clear downward trend for further increasing \( \tau \).

The above findings are further supported by the properties of RNs resulting from example trajectories obtained for different values of \( \tau \) (Fig. 12). As a first parameter interval of interest, we consider \( \tau \in [13, 14] \), which is characterized by \( \lambda_1 = 0 \), i.e., completely periodic dynamics. Here, all network measures show a marked transition indicating the structural changes of the underlying attractor corresponding to a period-doubling bifurcation

\[ \lambda_1 = 0 \]

leading to marked changes in the RT distribution of the periodic solutions, followed by a clear downward trend for further increasing \( \tau \).

FIG. 10. Phase portraits of the Mackey-Glass system (14) for (a) \( \tau = 13 \), (b) \( \tau = 13.5 \) (after the period-doubling bifurcation), (c) \( \tau = 15.5 \), and (d) \( \tau = 17 \).
presence of an accumulation region leads to an overall reduction of the effective $\varepsilon$ value to maintain the same recurrence rate $RR$. Similar considerations explain the increase of standard deviation and absolute value of skewness associated with the log-betweenness distribution.

While so far only bifurcations between different periodic regimes have been discussed, for larger values of $\tau$, we observe that the transition from periodic to chaotic behavior is characterized by a sharp decrease in all four considered global recurrence network measures [Figs. 12(a)–12(d)], which is consistent with the results obtained for the Rössler system$^{47}$ (see Fig. 7) as well as other continuous-time dynamical systems. We note that unlike in other systems, both the periodic orbit prior to the transition point and the emerging chaotic solution are not phase-coherent (Fig. 10). In this respect, the Mackey-Glass system does not allow studying geometric and dynamic differences between PC and NPC chaotic solutions, but serves as an illustrative example for the presence of noncoherent (periodic and chaotic) oscillations and their impact on recurrence based characteristics.

FIG. 11: Behavior of different statistical characteristics for individual realizations of the Mackey-Glass system in dependence on the parameter $\tau$: (a) Largest Lyapunov exponent $\lambda_1$ estimated from the variational equations of a discretized version of the system with 10 000 variables representing $(x(t), x(t-\tau), x(t-2\tau), \ldots, x(t-k\tau))$, (b) phase diffusion coefficient $D$ and (c) coherence index $CI$ obtained via Hilbert transform of $x(t)$. In addition, (d) shows the mean generalized coherence factor $GCF$ obtained from 100 realizations for each value of $\tau$ ($RR = 0.03$, embedding dimension 3 and delay $\tau/2$, i.e., $x_i = (x(t_i), x(t_i-\tau), x(t_i-2\tau))$).

A second interesting parameter interval with distinct changes of the attractor geometry is $\tau \in [14, 16]$, which still refers to the periodic regime of the Mackey-Glass system ($\lambda_1 = 0$). As shown in Fig. 11(c), at $\tau \approx 15$, the two-loop periodic orbit starts forming a cusp in the ($x(t), x(t-\tau)$)-plane and, subsequently, an additional minor loop structure [Fig. 10(c)], so that the associated Hilbert phase variable does not monotonously increase anymore. In parallel to this, for $\tau > 14$, both $C$ and $T$ increase beyond the expected values for a periodic orbit, although the maximum Lyapunov exponent clearly displays the presence of a limit cycle. This behavior results from an accumulation of probability on the trajectory close to the cusp [cf. Fig. 13(c)]. Since such an accumulation has a similar effect to a recurrence network as a fixed point ($C_v = 1$), the overall values of the transitivity-based measures increase. The same applies to $L$, where the

FIG. 12: Behavior of RN-based characteristics for the Mackey-Glass system in dependence on the parameter $\tau$ ($RR = 0.03$, embedding parameters as in Fig. 11) error bars indicate standard deviations obtained from 100 independent realizations of the system for each value of $\tau$: (a) global clustering coefficient $C$, (b) network transitivity $T$, (c) average path length $L$, (d) assortativity coefficient $R$, and [(e) and (f)] standard deviation and [(g) and (h)] skewness of the local clustering coefficient and logarithmic betweenness centrality ($\sigma_C$, $\sigma_{logb}$, $\gamma_C$, $\gamma_{logb}$, respectively).
corresponding discrimination will be a topic of our future transitivity. The identification of a structural criterion for a based on individual characteristics of RNs such as network between phase-coherent and noncoherent chaos exclusively ever, it is not yet possible to unequivocally distinguish delay systems such as the Mackey-Glass equation or the for describing changes in the attractor geometry of time- has been demonstrated that RN analysis is also applicable ing dynamics. Specifically, besides studying systems complementary properties directly related with the underly- in this spirit, RN analysis provides a widely applicable tool for studying complex systems from a geometric point of view, which supplements existing closely related techniques such as RQA and recurrence time statistics which characterize complementary properties directly related with the underly- dynamics. Specifically, besides studying systems described by a finite set of ordinary differential equations, it has been demonstrated that RN analysis is also applicable for describing changes in the attractor geometry of time-delay systems such as the Mackey-Glass equation or the piecewise linear time-delay system studied in Ref. 87. However, it is not yet possible to unequivocally distinguish between phase-coherent and noncoherent chaos exclusively based on individual characteristics of RNs such as network transitivity. The identification of a structural criterion for a corresponding discrimination will be a topic of our future work.

For the Rössler system, we have studied the transition between spiral- and screw-type chaos in some detail, which is common to several chaotic oscillators and leads to a change from phase-coherent to noncoherent oscillations. The corresponding effects on the attractor geometry and, as a result, RN characteristics have been discussed in detail. As a particular result, we have shown that the recently given interpretation of the RN transitivity $T$, as a measure for the effective attractor dimension, does not take statistical effects due

to a very heterogeneous distribution of residence probability on the attractor into account, which has been largely overlooked in previous research.50

In general, we find that, at least for the Rössler system, statistical characteristics based on the distributions of local RN measures allow an equal or even better discrimination between phase-coherent and noncoherent chaos than some of the global network quantifiers. Moreover, both types of characteristics outperform the studied statistical characteristics based on the recurrence time distributions. We emphasize that RN measures probably behave so well because they explicitly characterize geometric attractor properties in phase space (i.e., no dynamic characteristics), which do strongly change at the transition between phase-coherent and noncoherent chaos. In this respect, they are particularly useful for obtaining a corresponding discrimination.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have proposed a statistical framework for characterizing phase-coherent and noncoherent chaotic oscillations, which takes specific geometric information about the underlying attractor into account. For this purpose, we have utilized the recently developed concept of RN analysis. Our results demonstrate that statistical measures based on the recurrence properties of dynamical systems do not only distinguish between periodic dynamics and chaos, or quasiperiodic dynamics and chaos, but also between different appearances of chaotic dynamics characterized by phase-coherent and noncoherent oscillations, respectively. In this spirit, RN analysis provides a widely applicable tool for studying complex systems from a geometric point of view, which supplements existing closely related techniques such as RQA and recurrence time statistics which characterize complementary properties directly related with the underlying dynamics. Specifically, besides studying systems described by a finite set of ordinary differential equations, it has been demonstrated that RN analysis is also applicable for describing changes in the attractor geometry of time-delay systems such as the Mackey-Glass equation or the piecewise linear time-delay system studied in Ref. 87. However, it is not yet possible to unequivocally distinguish between phase-coherent and noncoherent chaos exclusively based on individual characteristics of RNs such as network transitivity. The identification of a structural criterion for a corresponding discrimination will be a topic of our future work.
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FIG. 13. Estimates of the marginal density $p(x)$ of the Mackey-Glass system (14) for (a) $\tau = 13$, (b) $\tau = 13.5$, (c) $\tau = 15.5$, and (d) $\tau = 17$. One clearly observes the period-doubling bifurcation (b) and the emergence of a cusp point and, subsequently, the secondary loop structure (c) in terms of the present local maxima.
Note that in this work, we prefer to discuss both measures separately.