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Abstract

Epilepsy affects the autonomic nervous system, and changes in this function are known to occur during, and even before the electroencephalographic onset of the seizure. The aim of this study was to characterize autonomic changes during and before the epileptic seizures.

The electrocardiographic signals from thirteen epileptic patients, were first pre-processed and then the RR series was extracted. The following segments were selected for the analysis: 15 min before seizure onset (preictal), seizure time frame (ictal) and 15 min far from the seizure (interictal). Temporal and frequency features were calculated. In addition, Recurrence Quantification Analysis (RQA) was performed.

Significant differences were detected in time-domain and RQA parameters 15 min before seizures suggesting the possibility of an early prediction of seizure onset. In addition, significant changes were observed during seizure. Further studies are needed to confirm these preliminary results in a larger number of subjects.

1. Introduction

Epilepsy is a neurological disorder [1], characterized by the recurrence of epileptic seizures, which constitutes a nosographic entity with considerable social impact, both because of its high incidence and of its chronicity. The prevalence of the disorder is estimated at around 1% of the population. Of these subjects, 25% do not respond to available therapies [2]. An early prediction of epileptic seizures would considerably increase the quality of life of these patients.

Epileptic seizures can affect autonomic nervous system (ANS) determining changes in both the sympathetic and parasympathetic functions. A recent meta-analysis has been published reporting autonomic changes in epilepsy [3]. Tachycardia and bradycardia are well-known autonomic phenomena associated with epileptic seizures, and such cardiac changes occur not only at the same time as but also prior to the electroencephalographic (EEG) seizure onset [4, 5].

The activation of central ANS by epileptic discharge propagation during a seizure is thought to be responsible for the preictal cardiac autonomic symptoms [6]. Few studies have been published characterizing ANS changes during preictal phases with contradictory results [7-9].

The aim of this study was to characterize ANS changes during the seizures and in particular prior to the onset of the seizures, i.e., during the preictal phase. In addition to time and frequency domain parameters, Recurrence Quantification Analysis (RQA) was also applied, for the first time, to characterize ANS during epilepsy. The long-term goal of the study is to develop an algorithm able to predict epileptic seizures with high sensitivity and specificity.

2. Methods

2.1. Data

Seizures were selected retrospectively from patients recruited at Unit of Neurology and Neuropysiology, Department of Neurological and Neurosensorial Sciences, University of Siena, Italy. All the patients were long-term monitored with 10-20 EEG and ECG. The onset of seizures was annotated based on EEG and video. ECG was measured simultaneously with a sampling rate of 512 Hz.

A total number of 31 seizures were collected from 13 patients affected by various kinds of epilepsy.

2.2. Pre-processing

ECG signals were first analysed for impulsive artefacts removal, power-line interference cancelling (50Hz), baseline wandering removal, signal-to-noise ratio improvement [10]. The signal was then interpolated to 1024 KHz and the QRS complexes were detected to reconstruct the RR series. Afterwards, an algorithm was applied for the recognition and correction of non-sinusoidal beats in order to have a RR series that only contains variations due to the sinus node and thus reflects the activity of the ANS.

2.2. Feature extraction

For each seizure, three ECG segments were selected:
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2.3. Time-domain features

The time-domain features were the mean of RR
intervals (MeanNN); the number of pairs of adjacent RRI
whose difference is more than 50 ms (NN50); the standard
deviation of projection of the Poincaré plot on the line
perpendicular to the line of identity that is a measure of
short-term variability (SD1); the standard deviation of the
projection of the Poincaré plot on the line of identity that
is a measure of long-term variability (SD2); the Cardiac
Sympathetic Index (CSI=SD2/SD1).

2.4. Frequency-domain features

To compute frequency-domain features, the
Generalized Short Time Fourier Transform (GSTFT) [11]
was calculated. From the GSTFT we extracted the power
of the low frequency band (0.04 Hz - 0.15 Hz) normalized
to the total power (LFn), the power of the high frequency
band (0.15 Hz - 0.40 Hz) normalized to the total power
(HFn) and the ratio of LF to HF (LF/HF), which is related
to the sympathetic-parasympathetic balance of the ANS.

2.5. Recurrence quantification analysis

RQA [12] quantifies the density of recurrence points as
well as the histograms of the lengths of the diagonal and
vertical lines in a recurrence plot. Parameters extracted
were the Recurrence Rate (RR), Determinism (DET),
Laminarity (LAM), Entropy (ENT), the maximum length
of the diagonal (Lmax) and the Trapping Time (TT).

2.6. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS 20
for Mac (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). The Shapiro-Wilk test
was applied to test normality of variables. As the data were
asymmetrically distributed, the Friedman test for repeated
measures was used to compare features in preictal, ictal and interictal segments. If it showed a significant effect,
then a paired Wilcoxon test for post-hoc analyses was
applied. Values are given as median(IQR) and a p<0.05
was considered as statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Time-domain analysis

Table 1 reports time-domain features in interictal,
preictal and ictal segments with significance. In particular,
we observed that NN50 was significantly different in the
three different epochs as shown in Figure 1.

Table 1. Time-domain features in interictal, preictal and
ictal segments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Friedman Test (p-value)</th>
<th>Wilcoxon Test(^{\dagger}) (p-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MeanNN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interictal</td>
<td>0.75(0.09-3.16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preictal</td>
<td>0.22(-0.55-4.73)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ictal</td>
<td>8.98(-1.96-17.87)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN50</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.019*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interictal</td>
<td>43.22(17.82-47.51)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preictal</td>
<td>32.01(14.79-48.08)</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ictal</td>
<td>19.26(14.91-38.56)</td>
<td>0.005**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interictal</td>
<td>0.17(0.09-0.25)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preictal</td>
<td>0.16(0.07-0.27)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ictal</td>
<td>0.94(0.18-15.56)</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD2</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interictal</td>
<td>0.52(0.37-1.21)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preictal</td>
<td>0.51(0.32-0.77)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ictal</td>
<td>2.00(0.92-15.56)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSI</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interictal</td>
<td>3.59(2.83-4.06)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preictal</td>
<td>3.22(2.84-5.13)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ictal</td>
<td>8.52(6.44-15.56)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{\dagger}\) Comparisons to interictal, reported only when the
Friedman test was significant. *p<0.05, **p<0.01

Figure 1. NN50 in the different epochs. *p<0.05, **p<0.01
3.2. Frequency-domain analysis

Table 2 reports frequency-domain features in the different phases with significance. LF/HF was significantly increased during ictal compared to interictal.

Table 2. Frequency-domain features in interictal, preictal and ictal segments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Friedman Test</th>
<th>Wilcoxon Test(^{\dagger})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(p-value)</td>
<td>(p-value)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFn</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interictal</td>
<td>0.22(0.18-0.27)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preictal</td>
<td>0.23(0.18-0.28)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ictal</td>
<td>0.17(0.11-15.56)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFn</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interictal</td>
<td>0.17(0.12-0.27)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preictal</td>
<td>0.13(0.08-0.25)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ictal</td>
<td>0.17(0.05-15.56)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF/HF</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interictal</td>
<td>2.19(1.53-4.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preictal</td>
<td>2.84(1.62-4.57)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ictal</td>
<td>4.37(2.84-15.56)</td>
<td>0.007**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{\dagger}\) Comparisons to interictal, reported only when the Friedman test was significant. *p<0.05, **p<0.01

3.3. Recurrence quantification analysis

Results of the RQA analysis with significance are reported in Table 3.

Table 3. RQA parameters in interictal, preictal and ictal segments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Friedman Test</th>
<th>Wilcoxon Test(^{\dagger})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(p-value)</td>
<td>(p-value)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interictal</td>
<td>13.05(7.62-31.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preictal</td>
<td>15.75(7.86-65.24)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ictal</td>
<td>18.63(10.88-28.89)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DET</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interictal</td>
<td>57.58(17.82-77.66)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preictal</td>
<td>63.83(14.79-86.91)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ictal</td>
<td>19.25(13.62-63.27)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAM</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interictal</td>
<td>18.87(8.98-44.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preictal</td>
<td>16.49(7.17-70.09)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ictal</td>
<td>19.25(13.26-49.60)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENT</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interictal</td>
<td>2.36(1.38-3.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{\dagger}\) Comparisons to interictal, reported only when the Friedman test was significant. *p<0.05, **p<0.01

Figure 2 and 3 show differences in ENT and TT respectively in the three different phases.

![Figure 2](image1.png) **p<0.05, **p<0.01

![Figure 3](image2.png) **p<0.05, **p<0.01

4. Discussion

The most significant result of our study was the significant changes in preictal phase compared to the interictal phase, suggesting that it is possible to predict seizures.

In particular, we observed a decrease in NN50, suggesting a deterioration of HRV, which could be an
indication of increased cardiovascular risk, including mortality [13]. Significantly decreased in NN50 was previously reported during epilepsy [14]. In addition, it was recently observed, using a KNN classifier, that NN50 and pNN50 were the most relevant features for predicting epileptic seizures [15]. We also observed differences in RQA parameters in preictal phase that was for the first time applied for the characterization of ANS during seizures. In particular, an increase in ENT and TT in preictal compared to interictal phase was observed, although for ENT in preictal there was a marginally significance. The increase in ENT means greater complexity of the recurrence plots during seizures. The increase in TT means that the time that the system abides at a specific state during seizures is longer than interictal phase. This change, previously observed applying RQA analysis to EEG signals in epilepsy [16], could reflect the synchronization of neurons during seizures.

Other findings of this study are specific to ictal phase. In particular, we observed an increase in SD1, SD2, CSI and LF/HF. Overall these results suggest a predominance of sympathetic activity during seizure. Our finding confirms previous studies, which reported significant increase in several indices of sympathetic function before and during seizures [8], [14, 17]. These results indicate a sudden and excessive sympathetic shift in the sympathovagal balance of ANS before the seizure-onset.

Overall the findings of this study suggest significant changes in ANS at least 15 min before seizures. Notably, the preictal phase selected in our study terminated 30 s before seizure, a sufficient time before the seizure onset to give an alarm to the subject. Thus, the results of this study could help in the prediction of the seizures to prevent adverse effects and in the automatic detection of seizures. Further studies are needed to confirm these preliminary results in a larger number of subjects. In addition, in a larger sample, seizures could be differentiated according to their localization to for a better characterization.
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